Effect of low-level laser therapy on wound healing after depigmentation procedure: A clinical study
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Abstract

Aim:

The aim of the present study is to evaluate and compare the effects of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) on wound healing after depigmentation procedure.

Materials and Methods:

In this study, 12 patients with bilateral melanin hyperpigmentation were treated with surgical stripping using a blade. After completion of the surgical process and bleeding stasis, any of the symmetrical surgical sites was randomly assigned for LLLT (test site) using a defocused diode laser at 1 mm distance for 5 min. After every laser exposure, the surgical site was coated with plaque disclosing solution (erythrosine) on the 3rd, 7th, and 15th day. A photograph of the surgical site was taken using a Digital SLR Camera, which was placed at 30 cm distance at 55 mm zoom, 1/100 shutter speed, f 14 aperture size, and ISO 4000 with a ring flash. The area of the stained parts of the photographs was evaluated using image analysis software.

Results:

At day 3, test site showed 1.26 ± 0.23 mm² and control site showed 1.45 ± 0.21 mm² stain uptake by the tissue which was statistically significant. At day 7 and day 15, the test sites exhibited 1.24 ± 0.30 mm² and 1.12 ± 0.25 mm² stain uptake, whereas the control site showed 1.37 ± 25 mm² and 1.29 ± 0.28 mm² staining, respectively, which were not statistically significant.

Conclusion:

Within the limitations of this study, the findings revealed that LLLT promotes wound healing after depigmentation procedure until the 3rd day. On the 7th and 15th day, the difference in healing was not statistically significant.
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INTRODUCTION

The dental application of lasers is a developing field with great promise. Low- and high-power lasers have been used in oral surgery, endodontics, periodontics, and restorative dentistry among other specialties. Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) is a promising treatment option for open wounds, and it has been examined on a clinical basis for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, pain management, healing of atrophic ulcers, healing of indolent wounds, bone formation, and burns. LLLT does not involve thermal interaction. Instead, the photon energy causes photochemical, photophysical, or photobiological effects in cells and tissue. It has been demonstrated that the effects of low-intensity lasers on biologic tissues are processed in different ways, through the mitotic activity induction of the epithelial cells, modification of the capillary density, stimulation of the local microcirculation, and increase of the in vitro and in vivo collagen synthesis. It increases mitochondrial function, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), RNA, and protein synthesis. This interaction leads to increased oxygen consumption and membrane potential and enhanced synthesis of NADH and ATP. It consequently increases the cellular metabolism, possibly increasing the wound healing and accelerating the inflammatory process.

Gingival hyperpigmentation is increased pigmentation beyond the normally expected degree of the oral mucosa. Several physiologic and/or pathologic factors can cause hyperpigmentation. However, the most common cause is physiologic or ethnic as a result of excessive melanin deposition by melanocytes, which in turn depends on the activity of enzyme tyrosinase. Gingival depigmentation is a treatment to remove the melanin hyperpigmentation. Several techniques including mechanical, surgical, electrosurgical, cryosurgical, free gingival grafts and lasers have been used. Scalpel surgery for depigmentation is a time-tested technique and remains the gold standard.

The purpose of using LLLT as a part of postoperative therapy is to provide patients with the highest quality of healthcare. This should include minimal discomfort or pain and a shortened healing period. One of the possible mechanisms behind the therapeutic effects of LLLT is the interaction of photons from laser irradiation at optimal doses (therapeutic window) with specific receptors in the mitochondria.

The aim of the present study is to evaluate and compare the effects of LLLT after depigmentation procedure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

This study had a double-blinded, placebo-controlled, and split-mouth design. This study was conducted in the Department of Periodontics. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board. Informed written consent was obtained from all patients. It included 12 patients in which 15 test sites and 15 control sites (total 30 sites) were treated for gingival hyperpigmentation.

Inclusion criteria were the presence of melanin pigmentation associated with maxillary and mandibular anterior region. Patients with any systemic illness such as uncontrolled hypertension, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, pregnancy, and smoking were excluded from the study. Sites extending from distal of the right canine to the midline and distal of the left canine to the midline of the maxilla or the mandible of the permanent dentition in each patient were selected.

Clinical procedures and low-level laser therapy application

The patients were instructed for proper oral hygiene habits, and complete oral prophylaxis and root planing were performed 2 weeks before the surgical procedure.

The patients who were esthetically cautious and exhibited excellent maintenance after phase 1 therapy were...
carefully chosen to be included in the study.

The procedure was done under local anesthesia 2% lignocaine with adrenaline, which was infiltrated in proximity of the site being operated at. Surgical peeling was performed using number 15 surgical blade.

After completion of the surgical process and bleeding stasis, any of the symmetrical surgical sites was randomly assigned for LLLT using a defocused diode laser at 1 mm distance for 5 min. The diode laser used had a wavelength of 810 nm with power setting at 1 W at continuous mode. To prevent the scattering of light to the opposite side, stents were prepared preoperatively using putty impression material. The stent was used to cover the control site during LLLT procedure. LLLT procedure was repeated each day until 7th day and photographs were taken on the 3rd, 7th, and 15th day using the same stent at control site [Figure 1].

All patients were instructed to take paracetamol 500 mg after surgery and continue for 2 days only in case of pain.

**Surface area determination**

After every laser exposure, the surgical site was coated with plaque disclosing solution (erythrosine in 1:50 dilution according to manufacturer's recommendation) for 30 s and then rinsed off. A photograph of the surgical site was taken using a Digital SLR Camera (Nikon D5100 SLR, USA), which was placed at 30 cm distance. The photographs were taken at 55 mm zoom, 1/100 shutter speed, f 14 aperture size, and ISO 4000 with a ring flash.

The accuracy of the imaging software was estimated by measuring surface areas of shapes of known mathematical areas. The efficiency and reproducibility were then evaluated. The surface areas of patient's images were measured using imaging software. Actual measurements were also made of the patient's teeth to avoid any discrepancy in the size of image. Then, actual sized images were used for evaluation. Every photograph was then divided into two parts, control and test. A layer of the photograph was cut using the cutting tool in the imaging software, and the disclosing agent color was selected. The expansion tool of the software then calculated the same color in the whole layer and calculated the area in pixels. Pixel dimension was then converted into millimeter square using a reference object dimension in the software [Figure 2].

The surface area analysis was performed by one of the authors (VG) who were blinded to the treatment techniques and to the test and control sites to assure an unbiased determination.

**Visual analog scale score determination**

The visual analog scale (VAS) was used to evaluate the subjective pain level experienced by each patient. The VAS consisted of a scale with values ranging from 0 to 10, with the left end by the descriptor “no pain” and at the right end by “unbearable pain.” The subject was asked to mark the severity of the pain on this scale. The value marked by the subject was used as the VAS score. If the score was 0, no pain was registered. Scores between 1 and 3 were considered slight pain, scores between 3 and 6 were considered moderate pain, and scores between 6 and 10 were recorded as severe pain.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical Package for Social Sciences Version 16 (Armonk, New York, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. The unpaired t-test was used for the comparison of mean values between the test and control groups. The level of significance was taken at 5% ($P < 0.05$). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

**RESULTS**
All patients completed the study course and complied with the postoperative LLLT application appointments. Postoperative complications such as swelling, bleeding, or edema were observed in only one patient on the test site and all patients used analgesics for 3 days.

**Surface area evaluation**

At day 3, test site showed $1.26 \pm 0.23 \text{ mm}^2$ and control site showed $1.45 \pm 0.21 \text{ mm}^2$ stain uptake by the tissue which was statistically significant. At day 7 and day 15, the test sites exhibited $1.24 \pm 0.30 \text{ mm}^2$ and $1.12 \pm 0.25 \text{ mm}^2$ stain uptake, whereas the control site at day 7 and day 15 showed $1.37 \pm 0.25 \text{ mm}^2$ and $1.29 \pm 0.28 \text{ mm}^2$ staining, respectively. At day 7 and day 15, the values were higher at control sites but were not statistically significant [Table 1 and Graph 1].

All the patients were satisfied by the treatment outcome.

**Visual analog scale score evaluation**

At day 3, the mean VAS score was $4.43 \pm 0.76$ for the test site and $5.21 \pm 0.58$ for the control site. At day 7, the mean VAS score was $1.93 \pm 0.73$ for the test site and $2.21 \pm 0.89$ for the control site. There was no statistically significant difference in the VAS scores of both the groups. At day 15, the patients had no pain on either of the sites. Hence, the VAS scores were zero [Table 2].

**DISCUSSION**

Healing of periodontal tissue after surgical treatment has long been a subject of the study. The use of LLLT for oral and periodontal purposes has been the subject of numerous *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. In this clinical trial, the wounds were assessed after depigmentation over 15 days to clarify whether LLLT with diode laser could or could not improve the healing process and postsurgical patient comfort.

Within the limitations of this study, the findings revealed that LLLT promotes wound healing after depigmentation procedure until the 3rd day in humans. On the 7th and 15th day, the difference in healing was not statistically significant.

The wound healing mainly includes fibroblasts, keratinocytes, and immune cells. Within a few days following surgery, epithelial cells start to migrate over the wound surface from the margins, whereas fibroblasts proliferate and lay a new connective tissue underneath the epithelial seal. During this period, cytokines and growth hormones expressed by the immune cells such as neutrophils and macrophages orchestrate the wound healing process.[27, 28] Previous studies suggest that LLLT application may accelerate wound healing by increasing the motility of human keratinocytes and promoting early epithelization, by increasing fibroblast proliferation and matrix synthesis and by enhancing neovascularization. It has also been shown that the expression of fibroblast growth factors by macrophages and fibroblasts is increased after LLLT application.[29] Another effect of LLLT on wound healing is to increase the revascularization rate as it is known that successful wound healing following periodontal surgery is strongly influenced by the revascularization rate.[30] A study by Ozcelik *et al.* has shown that LLLT may enhance epithelization and improve wound healing after gingivectomy and gingivoplasty operations.[31]

The basic principle of LLLT is based on the biostimulation or the biomodulation effect,[32] which consists of the fact that irradiation at a specific wavelength is able to alter cellular behavior.[33, 34] This effect is achieved by acting on the cellular mitochondrial respiratory chain[35] or on membrane calcium channels.[36] This action subsequently promotes an increase in cell metabolism and proliferation.[9]

In the present study, there was a significant difference in the uptake of the plaque disclosing solution on the 3rd day, but this difference was not significant on the 7th and 15th day. A possible explanation for this could
be that surface epithelialization completes in 2–5 days though complete epithelialization occurs in about 1 month. The disclosing solution can stain only the raw surface. Therefore, it could not penetrate the deeper layers. This result is in contrast with a study Ozcelik et al.[31] who found that LLLT applied sites after gingivectomy procedures have significantly lower stained surface areas on the 3rd, 7th, and 15th day.

This study has a series of methodological limitations. First, the small sample size of the study may affect the reproducibility of our results, and, therefore, these results should be interpreted with caution. Second, healing after a depigmentation operation is a rapid and simple process, which is usually uneventful even when diverse techniques are used. In addition, although an image-analyzing program was used to determine the stained gingival surface, this method is still strongly operative sensitive. Therefore, further clinical, histological, and/or immunohistological studies with larger study populations including diverse clinical conditions are required to evaluate the exact benefits of LLLT on gingival healing and to correlate the clinical alterations with the findings at the cellular level.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of this study, the findings revealed that LLLT promotes wound healing after depigmentation procedure until the 3rd day. On the 7th and 15th day, the difference in healing was not statistically significant.
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Clinical procedure and low-level laser therapy application. (a) Preoperative; (b) Intra-operative; (c) Low Level Laser Therapy on Test site; (d) Post-operative Day 3; (e) Post-operative Day 7; (f) Post-operative Day 15
Figure 2

Surface area evaluation. (a) Test site - Day 3; (b) Test site - Day 7; (c) Test site - Day 15; (d) Control site - Day 3; (e) Control site - Day 7; (f) Control site - Day 15
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Test site</th>
<th>Control site</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2625±0.2317</td>
<td>1.4466±0.2053*</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.2440±0.3034</td>
<td>1.3700±2567</td>
<td>0.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.1285±0.2534</td>
<td>1.2356±0.2838</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = Standard deviation. *P<0.05

Comparison of surface area of stain uptake in test and control site.
Graph 1

Comparison of surface area of stain uptake in test and control site
### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Control site</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test site</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>4.40±0.76</td>
<td>5.21±0.58</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>1.93±0.73</td>
<td>2.21±0.89</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD – Standard deviation

Comparison of visual analog scale scores in test and control sites
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